

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,692	12/05/2003	Grigore Rusu	DC-05675	9987
33438 HAMILTON &	7590 08/08/2008 & TERRILE, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 203	518	MCCORMICK, GABRIELLE A		
AUSTIN, TX	78720		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@hamiltonterrile.com seaton@hamiltonterrile.com tmunoz@hamiltonterrile.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/728,692	RUSU, GRIGORE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gabrielle McCormick	3629		

	Gabrielle McCormick	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) No period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire alter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a comparable of the compara	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS	t prior to the data of fling a brief	ill not be entered be					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed a</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		.,,	,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Firstly, Applicant states that the term "SRG" is in used in	the present application. Examine	er points to Figure 3 w	here the term is				
used three times. Applicant is considered non-responsive that Lesham discloses a directed graph and nodes (URL reference because a URL is associated with a webpage	s) C19; L37. Further, the URL is a	ssociated with a prod					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:							
/John G. Weiss/							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629